

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,662	02/10/2004	Hiroyuki Suzuki	009683-498	9430
2.007	7590 02/14/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	TYLER, NATHAN K		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2609		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/774,662	SUZUKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·.	Nathan K. Tyler	2609			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	. • .		•			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
ت/ت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		2x parte Quayre, 1000 0.5. 11, 4				
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
THE Sum of designation is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of John 1 70-102.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 02/10/2004; 06/20/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,662

Art Unit: 2609

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawai (US 6292269 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Kawai discloses an input portion inputting color image data read by a reading portion (Fig 1, numeral 2: "image sensor"), a detector detecting whether the input color image data is out of a predetermined color space, and a determining portion determining that the color image data is image noise when the detector detects that the color image data is out of the predetermined color space (it will be assumed for purposes of examination, according to paragraph 40 of the specification, that "color data... which could never be obtained from a normal image" is considered to be out of a predetermined color space. "The control unit 10 then determines, in Step S108, if the peak white value W.sub.P is smaller than or equal to the reference white value W.sub.R. The reference white value W.sub.R is such a value (i.e., 120) that no normal white output may have when the image sensor 2 performs the image reading operation... In this case, the control unit 10 determines that the reference

white/black plate 1a the CCD 2a carries a foreign particle such as dust or the like." at column 10, line 9).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Kawai discloses that the predetermined color space is determined in accordance with a characteristic of the reading portion ("The reference white value W.sub.R is such a value (i.e., 120) that no normal white output may have when the image sensor 2 performs the image reading operation" at column 10, line 11).

Regarding claim 7, Kawai discloses an image producing apparatus comprising the image processing apparatus according to claim 1 ("the image signal may be treated as the print data to be recorded on a recording sheet" at column 6, line 25).

Claims 1, 4, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 3. Shirasawa et al. (US 5689590 A).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Shirasawa discloses an input portion inputting color image data read by a reading portion ("a color image represented on a sheet of paper is read by means of an image input device including a scanner" at column 5, line 25), a detector detecting whether the input color image data is out of a predetermined color space, and a determining portion determining that the color image data is image noise when the detector detects that the color image data is out of the predetermined color space (See Fig. 7B, pixels with RGB density values that fall inside zone Z0 are determined to be "background noise." "in RGB color space, a color located near the origin is determined as white or a highlight. (A color determined as white or as a highlight is a color on which the background [noise] removing process will be performed" at column 9, line 58).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,662 Page 4

Art Unit: 2609

Regarding **claims 4 and 11**, Shirasawa discloses a corrector correcting the color image data determined by the determining portion as image noise (See Fig. 7B, pixels determined to be background noise are adjusted to a uniform density value (0,0,0)).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 6, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Kawai and Kondo et al. (US 7072075 B2).

Regarding **claims 3 and 10**, Kawai does not disclose that when the color image data detected by the detector to be out of the predetermined color space continues in a sub scanning direction of the reading portion, the determining portion determines the continuing color image data as image noise.

Kondo discloses that when the color image data detected by the detector to be out of the predetermined color space continues in a sub scanning direction of the reading portion, the determining portion determines the continuing color image data as image noise ("the change of the second phenomenon occurs in a predetermined number of lines in the sub-scanning direction... it is judged that stripes occur due to the dust D adhering to the reading positions of the corresponding pixels" at column 8, line 13).

Art Unit: 2609

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to expand the determining portion determining that color image data is noise when image data is out of a predetermined color space as taught by Kawai to also determine that image data is noise when the data out of a predetermined color space continues in a sub-scanning direction as taught by Kondo, so that dirt could be detected on the contact glass as well as the reference plate ("...detection of stripes in the sub-scanning direction on an image due to adhesion of dust to the contact glass..." at Kondo column 7, line 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Kawai does not disclose that the reading portion reads the color image data with the reading portion kept stationary and a document moved with respect to the reading portion.

Kondo discloses that the reading portion reads the color image data with the reading portion kept stationary and a document moved with respect to the reading portion ("The first reading unit reads a plurality of color components of a document image while scanning the document fed to the reading position by the feeding unit" at column 2, line 9)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to keep the reading portion taught by Kawai stationary while feeding the document to the reading position as taught by Kondo, as this well known method of scanning a document is faster than alternative methods ("the latter image reader, which moves a sheet document, is more advantageous than the former one, which moves the reading optical system, in terms of improvement in document image reading speed." at Kondo column 1, line 22).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,662

Art Unit: 2609

6. Claims 5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Shirasawa et al. and Kondo et al.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Shirasawa does not disclose a reading portion having a plurality of line sensors arranged in a sub scanning direction at predetermined intervals and respectively corresponding to different colors.

Kondo discloses a reading portion having a plurality of line sensors arranged in a sub scanning direction at predetermined intervals and respectively corresponding to different colors ("Concretely, the CCD sensor includes three pixel rows 41R, 41G, and 41B... in a direction perpendicular to the pixel arrangement direction" at column 4, line 29).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the plurality of line sensors taught by Kondo as the color image data reading portion in the system taught by Shirasawa, as it is very well known in the art that line sensors are readily available, low-cost, and have been proven durable for color scanning purposes.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan K. Tyler whose telephone number is 571-270-1584. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Werner can be reached on 571-272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**BRIAN WERNER** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Nathan K Tyler

Examiner

Art Unit 2609